

**From:** [Brenda Wisneski](#)  
**To:** [Staci Sheaks](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Cell tower proposal in CB  
**Date:** Monday, April 13, 2020 12:12:43 PM

---

For distribution.

---

**From:** DANNY P. GIOMETTI  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 12, 2020 2:10 PM  
**To:** Brenda Wisneski  
**Cc:** KURTH NELSON  
**Subject:** Fw: Cell tower proposal in CB

FYI

---

**From:** Debbi Mellah <[beachbennett@cox.net](mailto:beachbennett@cox.net)>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 10, 2020 4:17 PM  
**To:** DANNY P. GIOMETTI  
**Subject:** RE: Cell tower proposal in CB

Hi Danny,

I would like to express my concern and opposition to our neighborhood having 2 cell towers. The first cell tower was granted a height variance, why would a second cell tower be granted ANOTHER height variance in our neighborhood? And the variance to allow a second cell tower to be built within 500 feet, actually much closer than 500 feet, is unacceptable.

I'm not sure if you have had a chance to drive by Faith Lutheran Church, but the mock-up of how big the cell tower would be appears enormous.

I would ask that consideration of the cell tower be postponed until people are informed there is a mock up to view and you receive more community input. Another mailer with a photo would be ideal.

Thank you for your time.

Debbi Mellah  
949 412-0223



Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

**From:** [Brenda Wisneski](#)  
**To:** [Staci Sheaks](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Permit AUP19-0001  
**Date:** Monday, April 13, 2020 12:12:59 PM  
**Attachments:** [ATT00001.txt](#)

---

For distribution

-----Original Message-----

From: DANNY P. GIOMETTI  
Sent: Sunday, April 12, 2020 2:08 PM  
To: Brenda Wisneski  
Cc: KURTH NELSON  
Subject: Fw: Permit AUP19-0001

FYI

---

From: Dennis Taylor <dataylorsathome@cox.net>  
Sent: Thursday, April 9, 2020 4:55 PM  
To: DANNY P. GIOMETTI  
Subject: Permit AUP19-0001

Mr. Giometti,

Consider this my formal complaint about the proposed cell tower permit listed below. The existing cell tower at this property is an absolute eyesore. The last thing we need is another fake pine tree monstrosity blocking our views ! How about if the church focuses on preaching right and wrong to their congregation or maybe try a little community outreach if they're hurting for money so badly instead of adding more visual trash to the neighborhood. What's next an oil rig ?

Sincerely,  
Dennis Taylor  
34382 Calle Naranja  
Capistrano Beach

## Staci Sheaks

---

**From:** KATHY WARD  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2020 3:59 PM  
**To:** Regina Gancar  
**Subject:** RE: Planning Commission Meeting Item #2 - Antenna

Hi Regina –

Thank you for your email. I will forward it to the Planning Commission staff to read as a Public Comment for Item #2.  
Best regards,

Kathy M. Ward, MMC  
City Clerk  
*City of Dana Point*  
33282 Golden Lantern, Suite 203  
Dana Point, CA 92629  
Phone: 949-248-3505  
Fax: 949/248-9920

---

**From:** Regina Gancar [mailto:regeena1@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2020 3:52 PM  
**To:** KATHY WARD  
**Cc:** Matt Gancar  
**Subject:** Planning Commission Meeting Item #2 - Antenna

Dear Planning Commissioners,

Please let this email serve as our strong opposition to allow the installation of a 50 ft high, freestanding, commercial wireless telecommunication antenna facility with the variance to allow the proposed antenna facility within 500 feet of another freestanding antenna facility and to exceed the 35 foot height limit located at 34381 Calle Portola APN 123-392-01). We own and live at the property located at 26741 Calle Ultima, Capo Beach, CA 92624. When referencing the map that was sent out to residences nearby, you can see that we are directly across from the proposed antenna. We are very concerned with the health repercussions stemming from this antenna. Research has shown that there is the potential of increased cancer risk, cellular risk, increase in harmful free radicals, genetic damages, structural and functional changes to the reproductive system, learning and memory deficits, headaches, skin rashes, sleep disturbances, depression, increased rates of suicide dizziness, neurological disorders and negative impact on general well being on humans.

We strongly believe in the harm that 5G will impose on us as residents. There have been multiple studies on the harmful effects of 2G and 3G. Because 5G is still very new, the effects are still in the beginning stages, however, we know that it is stronger than 2G-4G RFRs.

The World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified RFR as "possibly carcinogenic to humans" in 2011. In addition, according to the 5G appeal, there are scientists and doctors who are calling for a moratorium on the roll out of 5 G because it will substantially increase exposure to the radio frequency electromagnetic fields RF-EMF, that has been proven to be harmful for humans and the environment.

While we have done a lot of research on the subject, we have listed links to 2 sites that include the information that we cited.

Additionally, we are concerned with the value of our property. If this antenna causes us to be ill, we will be forced to move away from it, and in turn, sell our property. We believe that it will have a negative effect on our property value. Please see the attached photo taken from our kitchen window to see the proximity of the antenna to our property.

In conclusion, we are pleading with you for the well being of our family which includes our 6 year old, as well as our neighbors, to please reconsider placing the antenna where it is currently proposed to be and keep us out of the direct vicinity of it's harmful effects.

Sincerely,

Matthew and Regina Gancar

<https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/observations/we-have-no-reason-to-believe-5g-is-safe/>  
<https://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/A10-018#.XpToki2ZNQI>



## Staci Sheaks

---

**From:** KATHY WARD  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2020 4:07 PM  
**To:** Joice D. Alapag  
**Subject:** RE: Major CWTAFF USE PERMIT AUP19-0001 and Variance V19-0001

Ms. Alapag –

Thank you for your email. I will forward it to the Planning Commission staff to read as a Public Comment for Item #2.  
Best regards,

Kathy M. Ward, MMC  
City Clerk  
*City of Dana Point*  
33282 Golden Lantern, Suite 203  
Dana Point, CA 92629  
Phone: 949-248-3505  
Fax: 949/248-9920

---

**From:** Joice D. Alapag [mailto:[jalapag@icloud.com](mailto:jalapag@icloud.com)]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2020 3:59 PM  
**To:** KATHY WARD  
**Subject:** Fwd: Major CWTAFF USE PERMIT AUP19-0001 and Variance V19-0001

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Joice D. Alapag" <[jalapag@icloud.com](mailto:jalapag@icloud.com)>  
**Date:** April 13, 2020 at 3:32:48 PM PDT  
**To:** [dgiometti@danapoint.org](mailto:dgiometti@danapoint.org)  
**Cc:** [jalapag@icloud.com](mailto:jalapag@icloud.com), [alapag61@icloud.com](mailto:alapag61@icloud.com)  
**Subject:** Major CWTAFF USE PERMIT AUP19-0001 and Variance V19-0001

To the City of Dana Point  
Community Development Department:

As a resident of this community for the past twenty years, I object the antenna installation due to the negative impact of the property value in our neighborhood. Also, we are concerned of the health issues. One of us is a cancer survivor and was exposed to microwave antenna at work, which may have attributed to health issue.

Our home is directly in line of sight and across from the proposed placement.  
Sent from my iPad



## Staci Sheaks

---

**From:** Brenda Wisneski  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2020 4:42 PM  
**To:** chris@unity1.com  
**Cc:** KATHY WARD; Staci Sheaks  
**Subject:** RE: Antenna Location - 34381 Calle Portola APN 123-392-01 - OPPOSE

Hi Mr. Plummer,

Thank you for your comment. It has been distributed to the Planning Commissioners and included in the public record. Please be aware, this item has been continued. Therefore, the Planning Commission will not be making a decision tonight. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Brenda Wisneski  
Community Development Director  
City of Dana Point | [www.DanaPoint.org](http://www.DanaPoint.org)  
949.248.3560 | [bwisneski@danapoint.org](mailto:bwisneski@danapoint.org)  
33282 Golden Lantern | Dana Point | CA 92629

---

**From:** Chris Plummer [<mailto:chris@unity1.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2020 4:16 PM  
**To:** KATHY WARD  
**Cc:** 'Chris Plummer'; 'Matt Gancar'  
**Subject:** Antenna Location - 34381 Calle Portola APN 123-392-01 - OPPOSE

Why don't you wait on this so the residents can properly voice their concerns about the location of the Antenna, if you put this in without proper input from residents you will LOSE VOTES and have a lawsuit filed, YOU CANT PROPERLY hold a meeting with something as important as this without having your residents VOICE those concerns CAN YOU?

This is not cool and seems SNEAKY, you should delay this until the COVID 19 issue allows residents to assemble and be properly notified and are able to be heard in person!

We live at 26731 Calle Ultima in Dana Point – We strongly oppose and wish to be heard!

Sincerely,

Chris & Cerise Plummer  
26731 Calle Ultima  
Capistrano Beach, CA 92624  
949-285-1916

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email and any attachments are for the sole use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential and/or legally privileged. If you have received this email in



error, please notify the sender by reply email and delete the message. Any disclosure, copying, dissemination or other use of this communication by someone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

**From:** [Brenda Wisneski](#)  
**To:** [mike@yahoo.com](mailto:mike@yahoo.com)  
**Cc:** [KATHY WARD](#); [Staci Sheaks](#)  
**Subject:** RE: 5G cell tower  
**Date:** Monday, April 13, 2020 5:15:12 PM

---

Mr. Hodson,

Thank you for your comment. It has been distributed to the Planning Commissioners and included in the public record. Please be aware, this item has been continued. Therefore, the Planning Commission will not be making a decision tonight. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Brenda Wisneski  
Community Development Director  
City of Dana Point | [www.DanaPoint.org](http://www.DanaPoint.org)  
949.248.3560 | [bwisneski@danapoint.org](mailto:bwisneski@danapoint.org)  
33282 Golden Lantern | Dana Point | CA 92629

---

**From:** KATHY WARD  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2020 5:02 PM  
**To:** Brenda Wisneski  
**Subject:** FW: 5G cell tower

FYI...

---

**From:** m hodson [[mailto:hodson\\_mike@yahoo.com](mailto:hodson_mike@yahoo.com)]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2020 4:58 PM  
**To:** DANNY P. GIOMETTI; KATHY WARD  
**Subject:** 5G cell tower

To whom it may concern,

I was told about the proposed site and meeting that is occurring (today at 6pm), at 430pm ( via my sons virtual play date with another first grader) from a concerned parent.

I don't believe I was sent any notification and our social distancing/interaction further complicates such a controversial subject as a 5G tower in our neighborhood.

I am sending you this note as I was told that items not documented for this call might not be allowed at a future date. As we are in a state of war against the virus, this discussion should be delayed at least 3-4 months with proper notification and discussion by the community.

Making any restrictions, decisions, etc... on this through a conference call with limited or no notification to the community is concerning.

Michael Hodson

**From:** [Brenda Wisneski](#)  
**To:** [tiffany.hodson@yahoo.com](mailto:tiffany.hodson@yahoo.com)  
**Cc:** [KATHY WARD](#); [Staci Sheaks](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Planning Commission Meeting Item #2 - Antenna  
**Date:** Monday, April 13, 2020 4:56:06 PM

---

Ms. Hodson,

Thank you for your comment. It has been distributed to the Planning Commissioners and included in the public record. Please be aware, this item has been continued. Therefore, the Planning Commission will not be making a decision tonight. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Brenda Wisneski  
Community Development Director  
City of Dana Point | [www.DanaPoint.org](http://www.DanaPoint.org)  
949.248.3560 | [bwisneski@danapoint.org](mailto:bwisneski@danapoint.org)  
33282 Golden Lantern | Dana Point | CA 92629

---

**From:** Tiffany Hodson [<mailto:tiffany.hodson@yahoo.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 13, 2020 4:50 PM  
**To:** KATHY WARD; DANNY P. GIOMETTI  
**Subject:** Planning Commission Meeting Item #2 - Antenna

- Dear Planning Commissioners,  
Please let this email serve as our strong opposition to allow the installation of a 50 ft high, freestanding, commercial wireless telecommunication antenna facility with the variance to allow the proposed antenna facility within 500 feet of another freestanding antenna facility and to exceed the 35 foot height limit located at 34381 Calle Portola APN 123-392-01). We own and live at the property located at 34651 Calle Monte, Capo Beach, CA 92624.

We strongly believe in the harm that 5G will impose on us as residents.

Sincerely,  
Tiffany Hodson

**From:** [DANNY P. GIOMETTI](#)  
**To:** [Staci Sheaks](#)  
**Subject:** PROTEST! Fw: CWTAF on 34381 Calle Portola  
**Date:** Monday, April 13, 2020 6:37:57 PM

---

---

**From:** beverly34271@cox.net <beverly34271@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 8, 2020 8:23 PM  
**To:** DANNY P. GIOMETTI  
**Subject:** CWTAF on 34381 Calle Portola

To whom it may concern,

My name is Beverly Allread.

I live at 34271 Camino El Molino.

I'm asking if there is any possible way to choose a different location for the CWTAF. Or a different structure that doesn't stand so high. I just spent over 60,000 on a new deck to view the ocean through a small break in the tree line. Which is exactly where you are putting this antenna blocking out the ocean view for which I waited so long and invested everything in to finally enjoy. I saved for years and was finally able to complete my deck just about 4 years ago. I already have to look at one "tree" antenna which you know is an eyesore. With all the options I'm sure you can come up with, it seems so unfair to put yet another in my face to live with for the rest of my life. With all the possible spots you could place another, why put another right in the only small view I worked so hard to be able to enjoy. My children are grieving and I'm just sick about how this enormous antenna is threatening to remove my only small hard earned ocean view. Lowering my property value, it's the first thing we see every time we look outside. Please reconsider! I beg you. It's not much of a view to some, but it means everything to us.

I don't know if one person's quality of life and hard earned accomplishments would matter much to some, but I have been praying so hard that mine, by some small miracle, might matter enough to you to choose to make a kind and thoughtful difference.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully, Beverly Allread.

**From:** [DANNY P. GIOMETTI](#)  
**To:** [Staci Sheaks](#)  
**Subject:** PROTEST: Fw: Major Antenna Use Permit AUP19-001 and Variance V19-001  
**Date:** Monday, April 13, 2020 6:36:25 PM

---

---

From: Sherry Johnson <[sherry.johnson@cox.net](mailto:sherry.johnson@cox.net)>  
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2020 6:09 PM  
To: DANNY P. GIOMETTI  
Subject: Major Antenna Use Permit AUP19-001 and Variance V19-001

Thank you for the opportunity to speak out and voice my concerns today. My name is Sherry Johnson and I am a homeowner and 21 year resident of Dana point/ Capistrano Beach. I live at 26726 Calle Ultima.

I want to go on record as opposing the installation of a 50 foot high stealth commercial wireless telecommunications tower at 34381 Calle Portola (Faith Lutheran Church) location. This tower is being placed in advance of the 5G rollout. I am concerned about the potential impacts to health, wildlife, and property values.

Like our neighbors in Encinitas, I recommend that we look to the Dana Point municipal code to regulate aspects of wireless facilities on private property: enforce height limits, noise limits, require that telecommunication companies document coverage gaps to justify need for new equipment, show that they have explored alternative sites, and prove that they are choosing a location with the least negative effects on our neighborhood. I propose that a moratorium on the rollout of 5G until further research and discussion can take place on impacts to health and environment. Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Brenda Wisneski](#)  
**To:** [Sbigney](#)  
**Cc:** [DANNY P. GIOMETTI](#); [Staci Sheaks](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Antenna permit  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 14, 2020 11:40:08 AM

---

Thank you for your email. This item was not considered by the Planning Commission last night. It will be rescheduled for a future meeting and property owners will receive new notices.

If you have any questions, feel free to contact me, or Associate Planner Danny Giometti, who is copied on this email.

Brenda

Brenda Wisneski  
Community Development Director  
City of Dana Point | [www.DanaPoint.org](http://www.DanaPoint.org)  
949.248.3560 | [bwisneski@danapoint.org](mailto:bwisneski@danapoint.org)  
33282 Golden Lantern | Dana Point | CA 92629

-----Original Message-----

From: Sbigney [<mailto:sbigney@att.net>]  
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2020 6:25 PM  
To: Brenda Wisneski  
Subject: Antenna permit

My name is Shawn Bigney I live at 34330 Calle Portola I'm writing this email to express my opinion or shall I say opposition I feel placing a 50 foot tall antenna across from my house is causing a blight to my neighborhood also have any studies been done for the health and safety of having this antenna so close to Homes a city park where children play and a preschool in the same parking lot Thank you for your time Shawn Bigney  
949-295-9330  
Sent from my iPad